

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/752,379	12/28/2000	Norbert Lenz	DE919990092-US1	1510	
7590 06/29/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Anne V. Dougherty			PATEL, NIKETA I		
3173 Cedar Road Yorktown Heights, NY 10598			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2182		
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/752,379	LENZ ET AL.
Office Ac	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Niketa I. Patel	2182
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
THE MAILING DATE  - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS from  - If the period for reply specif  - If NO period for reply is spe  - Failure to reply within the si Any reply received by the O	OF THIS COMMUNIC available under the provisions on the mailing date of this commuted above is less than thirty (30) cified above, the maximum states or extended period for reply we should be a supported to the communication of the communica	of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may inication.  ) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed	i on <u>13 <i>April 2005</i></u> .	
2a)☐ This action is F	• •	b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this appli	cation is in condition f	or allowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accor	dance with the practic	e under <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is	s/are pending in the ap	oplication.	
4a) Of the abov	e claim(s) is/are	e withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is			
7) Claim(s)	·	ion and/or alaction requirement	
8) Claim(s)	are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers			
9) The specificatio	n is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s)	filed on <u>28 December</u>	2000 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[	objected to by the Examiner.
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		tion to the drawing(s) be held in abey	, ,
·		•	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119		
	nt is made of a claim fo me * c)⊡ None of:	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
<u> </u>	•	locuments have been received.	
2. Certified	copies of the priority d	locuments have been received in	Application No
•	·	f the priority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage
• •		al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached	detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies no	ot received.
ttachment(s)			
Attachment(s) ) Notice of References Cite	ed (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
Notice of References Cite  Notice of Draftsperson's		O-948) Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

# **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/13/2005 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - a. Claim 1 recites the limitations "the name of the supporter program" in line 10 and "the requested functionality" in line 11 and "the current status" in line 13 and "the supporter" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
  - b. Claim 6 recites the limitations "the name of the supporter program" in line 11 and "the requested functionality" in line 12 and "the current status" in line 14 and "the supporter" in line 15. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,379 Page 3

Art Unit: 2182

c. Claim 7 recites the limitations "the name of the supporter program" in line 12 and "the requested functionality" in line 13 and "the current status" in line 15 and "the supporter" in line 16. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

- d. Claim 8 recites the limitations "the name of the supporter program" in line 11 and "the requested functionality" in line 12 and "the current status" in line 14 and "the supporter" in line 15. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- e. Claim 9 recites the limitations "the name of the supporter program" in line 12 and "the requested functionality" in line 13 and "the current status" in line 15 and "the supporter" in line 16. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- f. The dependent claims 2-5, 10-13 inherits the same deficiency.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fiszman et al. U.S. Patent Number: 6,115,646 (hereinafter referred to as "Fiszman",) Bruno et al. U.S.

Art Unit: 2182

Patent Number: 6,434,631 (hereinafter referred to as "Bruno") and further in view of Freund U.S. Patent Number: 5,987,611 (hereinafter referred to as "Freund".)

5. Referring to claims 1, 6, 7, 8, 9, Fiszman teaches a method, a system and a program storage device for supporting automated management of supporter-owned resources in a system having at least one repository and a resource managing program means, comprising the steps of [see column 3 – lines 1-30; figure 4 – element 1-7]: a repository comprising requests and request attributes wherein each request defines an action to be performed, or a desired state to be achieved, the state being associated with a respective one of said resources [see column 5 – lines 35-67; column 6 – lines 1-3; figure 3 – element 82]; accessing requests by a request scheduler and reorganizing said requests into a chain of requests based on said request attributes [see column 9 – lines 9-26; figure 4 – element 'Scheduler']; and invoking resource managing program means for handling the chain of said requests [see column 9 – lines 23-39.] Fiszman does not set forth the limitation of placing all requests in a repository however, Bruno teaches the limitation of storing (placing) requests in to a queue (repository) before a scheduler processes the requests [see Bruno column 2, lines 42-64] in order to provide a fair queuing scheduling algorithm that considers the estimated time required to service the request at the head of a queue with regard for the size of the input or output associated with the request.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous for *Fiszman* to be able to store incoming request into a repository before the scheduler accesses them in order to provide a fair queuing scheduling algorithm that considers the estimated time required to service the request at the head of a queue with regard for the size of the input or output associated with the request. It is for this reason that

Application/Control Number: 09/752,379

Art Unit: 2182

one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to place all request into a repository to provide a user with a fair queuing scheduling algorithm.

Fiszman is silent regarding the limitation of the request attributes comprising at least a name of the supporter program, a requested functionality, a unique string identifying a configuration containing the request, supporter-specific request parameters, a current status of the request and a version of the supporter required to execute the request. Freund teaches a request comprising the above cited attributes [see Freund column 4, lines 40-50] in order to be able to filter a request's access to certain resources.

One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention would have clearly recognized that it is quite advantageous for *Fiszman*'s request to have above stated various attributes in order to be able to filter a request's access to certain resources therefore allowing to restrict certain user's access to certain resources. It is for this reason that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to include various above stated attributes in a request in order to be able to filter a request's access to certain resources.

- 6. Referring to claims 2 and 10, teachings of *Fiszman* as modified above by the teachings of *Bruno* teaches said requests define desired states of operating system maintained resources, wherein the method further comprises the step of invoking supporter program means for ensuring that said resources are set according to said requests [see column 9 lines 9-50.]
- 7. **Referring to claims 3 and 11**, teachings of *Fiszman* as modified above by the teachings of *Bruno* teaches further comprising the step of using a standardized interface for said invocation of supporter programs [see column 7 lines 33-36, 49-64.]

Application/Control Number: 09/752,379 Page 6

Art Unit: 2182

8. **Referring to claims 4 and 12**, teachings of *Fiszman* as modified above by the teachings of *Bruno* teaches further comprising at least one of the steps of: checking for inconsistencies caused by one or more requests, generating one or more new requests as a child request of an already existing request, simulating the execution of said requests, executing updates of resources and generating special requests for activation, making updates known to the operating system, and reversing updates done before [see column 8 – lines 47-65; column 9 – lines 40-44.]

9. **Referring to claims 5 and 13**, teachings of *Fiszman* as modified above by the teachings of *Bruno* teaches further comprising the step of generating a user-readable protocol in which effects of execution of one of said steps according to the preceding claim are logged with respective settings of said resources [see column 9 – lines 58-63.]

### Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niketa I. Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272 4156. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 A.M. to 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on (571) 272 4083. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/752,379 Page 7

Art Unit: 2182

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NP

06/23/2005

PRIMARY EXAMINER